

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria Virginia 22313-1450

| P.O. Box 1450<br>Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450<br>www.uspto.gov |
|---|
|   |

| APPLICATION NO.              | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO.    |  |
|------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|--|
| 10/624,263                   | 07/22/2003      | John S. York JR.     | 67086-003               | 7338                |  |
| 26096                        | 7590 05/16/2005 |                      | EXAM                    | EXAMINER            |  |
| CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C. |                 |                      | FERGUSON,               | FERGUSON, MICHAEL P |  |
| 400 WEST M<br>SUITE 350      | APLE ROAD       | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER            |                     |  |
| BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009         |                 |                      | 3679                    | -                   |  |
|                              |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 05/16/2003 | 5                   |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No.     | Applicant(s) |  |
|---------------------|--------------|--|
| 10/624,263          | YORK ET AL.  |  |
| Examiner            | Art Unit     |  |
| Michael P. Ferguson | 3679         |  |

|  | Michael P. Ferguson   | 3679   | •                                      |
|--|---|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap  | pears on the cover sheet with the   | correspondence add   | ress                                   |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>02 May 2002</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI  | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL  | LOWANCE.   |  |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or<br>this application, applicant must timely file one of the fo<br>places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a<br>a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compli-<br>time periods:  | on the same day as filing a Notice of<br>llowing replies: (1) an amendment, af<br>Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in           | Appeal. To avoid aba<br>fidavit, or other evider<br>compliance with 37 C | nce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3)         |
| <ul> <li>a)  The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing d</li> <li>b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires</li> </ul>   | s Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth  |  |  |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEI   | or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH  | -  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The displayed been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office to may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704 NOTICE OF APPEAL | extension and the corresponding amount<br>ne shortened statutory period for reply origater than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropr<br>inally set in the final Offi                  | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) a |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in co filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ear a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.  AMENDMENTS   | ktension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of th  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection   | n, but prior to the date of filing a brief  | will not be entered b  | ecause                                 |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further   | consideration and/or search (see NO   |  | coausc                                 |
| <ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE b</li> <li>(c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in</li> </ul>  | • •   | educing or simplifying   | the issues for                         |
| appeal; and/or   | a company dia a museban of Gardhu an  | in the of the inter-   |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling  NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR)   |   | jected cialms.   |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR  | * **  | ampliant Amondment   | (DTOL_324)                             |
| <ul><li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection</li></ul>  |   | inpliant Amendment   | (I TOL-324).                           |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s).  |   | timely filed amendme   | ent canceling the                      |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s):  how the new or amended claims would be rejected is p The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  |   | ill be entered and an e  | explanation of                         |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>6</u> .   |   |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5,7-11 and 13-33</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |   |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |   |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action,<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  |   |  |  |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.  | o overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe   | al and/or appellant fai  | ls to provide a                        |
| 10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explana<br>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | tion of the status of the claims after e  | entry is below or attach   | ned.                                   |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered  | but does NOT place the application i  | n condition for allowa   | nce because:                           |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s ☐ Other:  | s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N   | No(s)  | dola                                   |
|  |   | DANIEL P. STODOL   | <b>A</b>                               |

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 050905



## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

**Application No. 10/624,263** 

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The added limitations of "said frame and said bottom rail defining a plane, and each opening is located on a commone side of said plane defined by said rail barricade" require further search and consideration as they have been presented for the first time in this amendment.